ssm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2221 OF 2007
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 386 OF 2007
IN
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 155 OF 2006
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 96 OF 2002
IN
ARBITRATION CASE NO. 19 OF 2000

Dinesh H. Shah ...Appellant.
Vs.
Ravi Sharma & Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr. M.K. Gada i/by M/s. Harakhchand & Co. for the appellant.
Ms. Shilpa Kamble i/by M/s. Shukla & Shukla for respondent No. 2.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : 22ND NOVEMBER, 2007

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for the parties Perused the Notice of Motion and affidavit in support thereof. By this Notice of Motion, the appellant is seeking condonation of 37 days delay in filing the above appeal. For the reasons stated in the affidavit in support of Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay in filing the above appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**